



Daylighting Optimisation Using Parametric Simulations (Grasshopper + Ladybug)

Oguntimehin, Abiodun Sunday PhD & Emehinola, Olusola Matthew

Department of Architectural Technology, School of Environmental Studies, Federal Polytechnic, Ilaro, Ogun State.
abiodun.oguntimehin@feederalpoly.edu.ng

Abstract

Daylight is highly important in building design, affecting energy consumption, visual comfort, and occupant well-being. In tropical climates such as Nigeria, excessive solar exposure leads to *glare and overheating*, while *insufficient daylight increases reliance on artificial lighting*. This study optimises daylighting in a primary school classroom in Ogun State using parametric simulations with *Grasshopper and Ladybugs*. The design variables, window-to-wall ratio (WWR), orientation, shading devices, and interior surface reflectance were parametrically manipulated to generate 250 design scenarios that were evaluated against daylight autonomy (DA), useful daylight illuminance (UDI), and discomfort glare index (DGI). The results show that north-south-oriented classrooms with 35% WWR, a 0.7 m horizontal overhang, and 0.55 internal reflectance achieve optimal daylighting (DA: 68-72%) while maintaining acceptable brightness levels (DGI < 25). This configuration reduces dependence on artificial light by 25-30%, rather than being compared to conventional designs. Also, parametric workflows enabled rapid multi-criteria optimisation, demonstrating the potential to integrate computational tools into tropical building design to enhance visual comfort, reduce energy demands, and improve indoor environmental quality.

Keywords: Daylighting optimisation, Grasshopper Ladybug, Parametric simulation, Tropical classroom, Visual comfort

Citation

Oguntimehin, A.S. & Emehinola, O.M. (2025). Daylighting Optimisation Using Parametric Simulations (Grasshopper + Ladybug). *International Journal of Women in Technical Education and Employment*, 7(1), 1 – 13.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: January 18, 2026

Revised: April 3, 2026

Accepted: April 21, 2026

Introduction

Kusimo & Adebamowo (2019) noted that effective daylighting in buildings plays a central role in improving energy efficiency, occupant comfort, and visual quality, particularly in tropical regions where sunlight intensity is high and cooling loads are significant. In Ogun, buildings consume approximately 34 per cent of their electricity for lighting, resulting in annual energy costs of around ₦12,000 per m² in some typologies (Adegbulugbe & Akinbami, 1995). In many Nigerian cities, such as Ogun State, buildings similarly rely heavily on

artificial lighting due to poor integration of daylight strategies, leading to increased energy consumption and higher operating costs.”

Parametric simulation tools, notably Grasshopper and its environmental plugin Ladybug, have emerged as powerful instruments for daylighting analysis and design optimisation. Grasshopper, a visual programming environment within Rhinoceros 3D, enables parametric manipulation of building geometry through algorithmic workflows (Saleh & Abdullahi, 2020). When integrated with Ladybug, an environmental analysis plugin that processes Energy Plus weather data, designers can evaluate solar

exposure, illuminance levels, and shading effects across thousands of design iterations (Jiang et al., 2024).

Despite those technological advances, much research is conducted in temperate climates, and few studies address daylighting optimisation in humid tropical situations, where excessive sun angles and chronic cloud cover pose particular challenges. Moreover, current tactics often consider energy efficiency or visual comfort one at a time, neglecting the need for integrated multi-criteria optimisation that balances lighting fixtures, thermal performance, and occupant well-being. This hole underscores the importance of investigating parametric daylighting strategies tailored to tropical environments, with a focus on practical implementation and measurable overall performance outcomes.

The Nigerian context provides specific demanding situations. Ogun, located in the 6.5°N range, reports consistently high solar angles (zenith angles of 20-40° year-round) and diffuse sky conditions due to humidity and cloud cover. Unlike temperate areas, where seasonal variations dominate daylighting strategies, tropical lecture rooms require year-round glare control without compromising daylighting. Furthermore, financial constraints restrict the adoption of active lighting controls, making passive daylighting optimisation vital for sustainable academic infrastructure.

Hence, this paper explores how parametric simulations using Grasshopper and Ladybug can optimise daylighting in tropical buildings. By analysing building orientation, window-to-wall ratios, shading devices, and material reflectance, the study aims to provide actionable design strategies that improve daylight utilisation, reduce reliance on artificial lighting, and enhance occupant comfort. The study also positions these tools as a bridge between architectural creativity and environmental performance, demonstrating their potential to inform evidence-based design decisions in tropical contexts.

Theoretical Framework: Daylighting Metrics and Standards

Daylighting overall performance is evaluated using a couple of metrics, each capturing distinct aspects of visual comfort and energy efficiency. Daylight Autonomy (DA) measures the percentage of occupied hours during which minimum illuminance thresholds (typically 300 lux for classrooms) are met by daylight (Reinhart & Walkenhorst, 2001). Useful Daylight Illuminance (UDI) refines this metric with the aid of defining a top-of-the-line variety (one hundred-2000 lux), recognising that excessive daylight hours result in glare and thermal soreness (Nabil & Mardaljevic, 2006). The Daylight Glare Probability (DGP) or Discomfort Glare Index (DGI) quantifies visible pain from excessive-luminance assets in the subject of view (Wienold & Christoffersen, 2006).

When it comes to tropical lecture rooms, the Nigerian Building Code recommends minimal illuminance of three hundred-500 lux on work planes, whilst ASHRAE 90.1 specifies maximum window-to-wall ratios to control solar heat gain. However, these standards were evolved mainly for temperate climates and require contextualization for tropical applications

Parametric Design in Daylighting Optimisation

The emergence of parametric design tools has transformed daylighting analysis from static assessment to dynamic optimisation. Early parametric studies focused on geometric variation (Reinhart & Andersen, 2006), but recent work integrates multi-objective optimisation algorithms. Li (2024) demonstrated that genetic algorithms embedded in Grasshopper can identify Pareto-optimal solutions that balance DA, UDI, and energy consumption, achieving 15-20% better performance than manual heuristics. Similarly, Bahdad et al. (2024) applied parametric optimisation to double facades in Malaysia under tropical conditions, reporting a 24.8% improvement in daylight distribution and a 25.3% reduction in cooling energy.

However, these studies share common limitations. First, computational costs remain high: Li's (2024) optimisation required 72 hours for 500 iterations, limiting practical applicability. Second, most frameworks optimise single building typologies, with limited transferability between contexts. Third, validation using measured data is rare, with only 23% of the studies reviewed comparing simulations with empirical performance.

Daylighting in Tropical and Nigerian Contexts

Nigerian daylighting research has evolved from descriptive assessments to simulation-based optimization. Early work by Fadeyi et al. (2024) documented poor daylighting in Ogun residential buildings through post-occupancy surveys, revealing that 68% of occupants relied on artificial lighting during daytime hours. More recent studies employ simulation tools: Fadeyi et al. (2024) surveyed 1,168 residential buildings in Ogun, finding that northwest-southeast orientation, the most common alignment, reduced daylight provision by 34% compared to optimal north-south orientation.

Mukhtar, Salisu, and Salihu (2024) advanced this work by developing an optimisation framework for single-banked office buildings in Nigeria's temperate-dry climate zones. Their parametric analysis identified 20% WWR and 0.6 projection factor as optimal for balancing daylight and thermal comfort. However, this is centred on places of work in Abuja (temperate-dry climate, 9°N range), restricting its applicability to Ogun (tropical humid climate, 6.5°N range) and to academic buildings, where occupancy patterns and visible challenge requirements differ considerably.

Critical Gap: While Nigerian studies have emphasised the importance of orientation and shading, none have carried out multi-criteria parametric optimisation mainly for tropical study room typologies. Educational homes present unique challenges: better occupancy density, prolonged daylight (8:00-15:00), various visual responsibilities (analysing, writing, board viewing), and constrained

budgets for lively controls. Furthermore, current research optimises daylighting and thermal comfort separately, neglecting their interdependence in tropical climates, where daylight-hours admission without delay affects cooling masses.

This study addresses identified gaps by:

1. Applying parametric multi-criteria optimisation specifically to tropical classroom typologies
2. Integrating Grasshopper-Ladybug workflows with Ogun-specific climate data
3. Evaluating the combined effects of WWR, orientation, shading, and reflectance on DA, UDI, and glare simultaneously
4. Providing practical design guidelines validated through sensitivity analysis
5. Demonstrating computational efficiency (250 iterations) suitable for early-stage design.

Methodology

This study adopts a quantitative and simulation-based research design to investigate daylighting optimisation in a tropical classroom environment. The approach integrates parametric modelling, environmental simulation, and multi-criteria optimisation to evaluate daylight performance and identify optimal design configurations.

Case Study Selection

The research focuses on a primary school classroom in Ogun State, Nigeria, chosen for its tropical climate conditions (hot, humid, and high solar irradiance). The classroom measures 8 m × 10 m, has a 3 m ceiling height, and features a conventional north-south façade orientation. This typology is prevalent in Ogun State and provides a suitable context to study daylighting strategies applicable to educational buildings in tropical zones.

Data Collection

Climatic data for Ogun were obtained from the Meteoronorm database, including hourly solar radiation, diffuse horizontal radiation, temperature, and cloud cover for one year. Indoor environmental parameters, such as illuminance requirements and glare indices, were derived from ASHRAE 90.1 standards and tropical classroom guidelines (Mukhtar, Salisu & Salihu, 2024).

Parametric Model

The base classroom geometry (8 m × 10 m × 3 m) was modelled in Rhinoceros 7 (version 7.13) with

Parametric Variables

Table 1: Parametric Variables

Variable	Range	Increment	Rationale
WWR	20-60%	5%	Nigerian Building Code recommends 20-40%, extended to 60% to explore upper limits
Shading depth	0.3-1.0 m	0.1 m	Based on typical overhang dimensions in Ogun schools
Orientation	0-345°	15°	Captures all cardinal and inter-cardinal directions
Wall reflectance	0.3-0.7	0.1	Represents common interior finishes (dark to light paint)

Total combinations: 9 (WWR) × 8 (shading) × 24 (orientation) × 5 (reflectance) = 8,640 possible configurations. A stratified sampling approach reduced this to 250 representative scenarios covering the full design space.

- 0.5 m (320 sensor points covering work plane)
- Radiance parameters: -ab 5 -aa 0.15 -ar 300 -ad 1000 -as 20 (high-accuracy settings)

parametric controls defined in Grasshopper (version 1.0.0007). The model includes:

Floor area: 80 m² (accommodating 40 students at 2 m²/student)

Window placement: Single-sided on the longer south-facing wall

Work plane height: 0.75 m (standard desk height)

Ceiling type: Flat, white-painted concrete (reflectance 0.7).

- Glazing properties: Clear single-pane glass (visible transmittance: 0.88, SHGC: 0.76)
- Occupancy schedule: 180 school days/year, 7 hours/day.

Validation Methodology

Simulation accuracy was validated through three approaches:

- **Benchmark Comparison:** Results were compared against CIE test cases for tropical

daylighting (CIE 171:2006), showing <5% deviation in DA and UDI calculations.

- **Physical Measurement:** A subset of scenarios (n=5) was validated against illuminance measurements in an existing Ogun classroom with similar dimensions. Measurements were conducted using Konica Minolta T-10A illuminance meters (accuracy: ±2%) at 16 grid points during clear-sky and overcast conditions. Simulated vs. measured illuminance showed $R^2 = 0.89$, RMSE = 47 lux (15% error).
- **Energy Simulation:** The optimal design was modelled in Energy Plus (version 9.6) to validate thermal-daylight interactions. Annual cooling loads were compared between the optimized design and a baseline classroom (WWR 40%, no shading, random orientation), resulting in a 18% reduction in cooling energy attributable to improved shading and orientation.

Ethical and Practical Considerations

No human subjects were directly involved; however, classroom usage patterns were simulated based on typical occupancy schedules from the Nigerian Ministry of Education. The methodology ensures that outcomes are contextually relevant, practical, and applicable to real-world design scenarios in tropical climates.

Findings and Data Analysis

Table 2: Presents DA performance across orientation and WWR combinations:

<i>Orientation</i>	WWR 20%	WWR 30%	WWR 35%	WWR 40%	WWR 50%	WWR 60%
<i>North</i>	52%	65%	71%	73%	75%	76%
<i>Northeast</i>	48%	61%	68%	72%	76%	78%
<i>East</i>	45%	58%	65%	70%	75%	79%
<i>Southeast</i>	44%	57%	64%	69%	74%	78%

A total of 250 design permutations were simulated, generating 20 million data points (250 scenarios × 320 sensors × 252 occupied days). Computational time averaged 3.2 minutes per scenario on a workstation with an Intel i9-12900K processor and 64GB RAM, totalling approximately 13.3 hours for the complete analysis.

Baseline Performance

A baseline classroom representing a typical Ogun school design was established for comparison:

- WWR: 40% (unoptimized, evenly distributed)
- Orientation: 45° (northeast-southwest, common in Ogun)
- Shading: None
- Interior reflectance: 0.4 (standard paint)

Baseline performance:

- DA₃₀₀: 58% (below LEED threshold)
- UDI₁₀₀₋₂₀₀₀: 61%
- DGI: 32 (uncomfortable glare during morning and afternoon)
- Annual lighting energy: 28 kWh/m²
- Annual cooling energy: 95 kWh/m²

Daylight Autonomy (DA₃₀₀)

South	51%	64%	70%	72%	74%	75%
Southwest	43%	56%	63%	68%	73%	77%
West	44%	57%	64%	69%	74%	78%
Northwest	47%	60%	67%	71%	75%	77%

Bold values indicate optimal performance range (DA \geq 70% without excessive WWR)

Key findings:

- North-south orientations (0° and 180°) achieved the highest DA (68-72%) at moderate WWR (30-40%)
- East-west orientations required higher WWR (45-55%) to achieve equivalent DA, increasing glare risk
- DA increased linearly with WWR up to 40%, then plateaued (diminishing returns beyond 50%)

- Compared to baseline (58% DA), optimal configurations improved DA by 12-24%"

Useful Daylight Illuminance (UDI₁₀₀₋₂₀₀₀)

- UDI analysis decomposed into three sub-ranges:
- UDI <100 lux (under-lit): Requires artificial lighting
- UDI 100-2000 lux (optimal): Visual comfort without glare
- UDI >2000 lux (over-lit): Glare risk and thermal discomfort.

Table 3: UDI Distribution by Interior Reflectance

REFLECTANCE	UDI <100	UDI 100-2000	UDI >2000	UNIFORMITY
0.3	32%	61%	7%	0.35
0.4	25%	68%	7%	0.39
0.5	18%	75%	7%	0.44
0.6	15%	76%	9%	0.46
0.7	12%	71%	17%	0.41

Bold rows indicate optimal reflectance range

Statistical analysis (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) confirmed that reflectance significantly affects UDI distribution ($F=24.7$, $p < 0.001$). Post-hoc Tukey tests revealed:

- Reflectance 0.5-0.6 significantly outperforms 0.3-0.4 ($p < 0.01$)
- Reflectance 0.7 creates over-illumination near windows (UDI >2000 increases to 17%)

- Optimal range (0.5-0.6) reduces under-lit areas by 47-53% compared to baseline (0.4)

Energy implications:

- Each 10% reduction in under-lit area (UDI <100) correlates with 2.3 kWh/m² annual lighting energy savings

- Optimal reflectance (0.55) reduces lighting energy by 6.4 kWh/m² (23% savings) compared to baseline"

Glare analysis employed both DGI and spatial glare probability mapping. Figure 2 illustrates DGI variation throughout the day for different shading configurations

Glare and Visual Discomfort

Table 4: Peak DGI by Shading Depth and Orientation

<i>Shading Depth</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>	<i>West</i>	<i>Average</i>
<i>No shading</i>	28	38	29	37	33
<i>0.3 m</i>	26	34	27	33	30
<i>0.5 m</i>	24	30	25	29	27
<i>0.7 m</i>	23	27	24	26	25
<i>1.0 m</i>	22	25	23	24	23.5

DGI <25 = imperceptible glare; 25-28 = perceptible but acceptable; >28 = uncomfortable

Key findings:

- Horizontal overhangs of 0.6-0.8 m effectively controlled glare for north-south orientations (DGI <25)
- East-west orientations required deeper shading (0.8-1.0 m) to achieve comparable glare control
- Without shading, 68% of occupied hours experienced uncomfortable glare (DGI >28)
- Optimal shading (0.7 m) reduced glare hours by 82% compared to baseline

Vertical fins along east-west facades (0.4 m depth, 1.0 m spacing) provided additional glare control:

- Morning glare reduction: 35% (east facade)
- Afternoon glare reduction: 38% (west facade)
- Impact on DA: Minimal (<3% reduction)"

Temporal analysis revealed critical glare periods:

- East-facing: 08:00-10:00 (low-angle morning sun)
- West-facing: 13:00-15:00 (low-angle afternoon sun)
- North-south: Minimal glare except near solstices (December-January)

Multi-Criteria Optimisation Results

The NSGA-II algorithm identified 23 non-dominated solutions forming the Pareto front. Figure 3 visualises the three-dimensional trade-off space between DA, UDI, and inverse DGI (1/DGI, maximised for comfort).

Pareto Front Analysis:

Solutions clustered into three distinct groups:

Group A: High Daylight, Moderate Glare (n=8)

- DA: 74-78%
- UDI: 68-72%
- DGI: 26-28

- Characteristics: WWR 45-55%, minimal shading (0.3-0.5 m)
- Trade-off: Maximum daylight but acceptable glare during peak hours

Group B: Balanced Performance (n=9)

- DA: 68-72%
- UDI: 74-78%
- DGI: 23-25
- Characteristics: WWR 30-40%, moderate shading (0.6-0.8 m)

Recommended Solution (Group B):

The solution selected for detailed analysis balances all objectives:

Table 5: The solution selected for detailed analysis balances all objectives

<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Justification</i>
<i>WWR</i>	35%	Maximises DA while controlling glare; complies with Nigerian Building Code
<i>Orientation</i>	0° (North)	Minimises direct solar penetration; reduces cooling loads
<i>Horizontal overhang</i>	0.7 m	Provides effective glare control (DGI=24) without over-shading
<i>Interior reflectance</i>	0.55	Optimizes light distribution uniformity

Performance Summary:

- DA₃₀₀: 70% (+12 percentage points vs. baseline)
- UDI₁₀₀₋₂₀₀₀: 76% (+15 percentage points vs. baseline)
- DGI: 24 (-8 points vs. baseline, moving from uncomfortable to acceptable)
- Lighting energy: 21 kWh/m² (-25% vs. baseline)

- Trade-off: Optimal balance across all metrics

Group C: Glare-Minimised (n=6)

- DA: 62-66%
- UDI: 70-74%
- DGI: 20-22
- Characteristics: WWR 25-35%, deep shading (0.8-1.0 m)
- Trade-off: Minimal glare but reduced daylight (supplementary lighting needed)

- Cooling energy: 78 kWh/m² (-18% vs. baseline)
- Total energy savings: 24 kWh/m² (-20% vs. baseline)

Economic Analysis: For an 80 m² classroom:

- Annual energy savings: 1,920 kWh
- Energy cost savings (₦50/kWh): ₦96,000/year

- Additional construction cost (shading, optimised glazing): ₦180,000
- Simple payback period: 1.9 years
- 20-year NPV (6% discount rate): ₦1.24 million"

Discussion of Findings

Interpretation of Results

1. Physical Mechanisms Underlying Optimal Performance

The superior performance of north-south orientations in Ogun State classrooms stems from fundamental solar geometry. Ogun (6.5°N latitude) experiences:

- Solar altitude angles of 60-87° during occupied hours (08:00-15:00)
- Relatively consistent sun paths year-round ($\pm 20^\circ$ seasonal variation)
- High proportion of diffuse radiation (45-55% of total) due to atmospheric humidity

North-south orientation minimizes direct solar penetration through vertical windows during mid-day peak hours (10:00-14:00) when solar azimuth angles are close to 0° or 180°. This geometric relationship explains why north-south classrooms achieve high DA (70%) with moderate WWR (35%), while east-west orientations require larger WWR (45-50%) to compensate for reduced daylight admission during mid-day hours—but at the cost of increased glare during morning/afternoon low-angle sun exposure.

The 0.7 m overhang depth corresponds to a projection factor (PF = overhang depth/window height) of 0.29 (assuming 2.4 m window height). This PF effectively

blocks direct sun at altitudes $>68^\circ$, which encompasses 78% of occupied hours in Ogun State. Deeper overhangs (1.0 m, PF=0.42) block more direct sun but also reduce diffuse daylight admission by 15-18%, explaining the diminishing returns observed beyond 0.8 m shading depth.

Interior reflectance effects align with light-transport theory. Reflectance of 0.5-0.6 creates 1.8-2.2 inter-reflections on average before light absorption, distributing daylight deeper into the room. Higher reflectance (0.7) increases inter-reflections to 2.5-3.0, but also amplifies luminance contrasts near windows, increasing glare perception despite similar illuminance levels. This explains why UDI >2000 lux increases from 7% (reflectance 0.5) to 17% (reflectance 0.7).

Comparison with International Standards and Studies

- The optimal DA of 70% exceeds LEED v4.1 minimum requirement (50%) but falls short of European high-performance standards (80%, EN 17037). This gap reflects tropical climate challenges:
- Higher cloud cover (40-60% in Ogun State vs. 20-30% in temperate regions) reduces direct beam radiation
- Humidity-induced atmospheric scattering increases diffuse radiation proportion, lowering illuminance levels
- Year-round vegetation and urban density in Ogun State create higher external obstructions
- Comparative analysis with similar tropical studies:

Table 6: Comparison with International Standards

Study	Current study	Bahdad et al. (2024)	Mukhtar et al. (2024)	Saleh & Abdullahi (2020)
Location	Ogun, Nigeria	Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	Abuja, Nigeria	Kano, Nigeria
Climate	Tropical humid	Tropical humid	Temperate-dry	Hot-arid
Optimal WWR	35%	40%	20%	25%
Optimal Orientation	North-South	North-South	North	North
DA Achieved	70%	68%	65%	72%

Ogun State results align with Malaysian findings (similar latitude and humidity) but differ from Abuja and Kano (lower humidity, higher direct radiation), which achieve comparable DA with smaller WWR. This confirms that climate sub-classification within tropical zones significantly affects optimal daylighting strategies.

The 25% lighting energy reduction achieved in this study compares favorably with international parametric optimization studies:

- Li (2024), China: 18-22% reduction
- Jiang et al. (2024), Multi-climate: 15-28% reduction
- Bahdad et al. (2024), Malaysia: 25.3% cooling reduction + 15% lighting reduction

However, the 18% cooling energy reduction is lower than Malaysian results (25.3%), likely due to:

- Different building typologies (classroom vs. office)
- Higher internal heat gains in classrooms (40 occupants vs. 10-15 in offices)
- More conservative shading in this study (0.7 m vs. 1.0-1.2 m in Malaysian study)"

Practical Implications for Nigerian Design Practice

Implementation Pathways and Barriers

Adoption Feasibility: The recommended design strategies (35% WWR, north-south orientation, 0.7 m overhangs, 0.55 reflectance) are implementable within Nigerian construction contexts:

Cost implications:

- Reduced WWR (35% vs. typical 40-45%) lowers glazing costs by ₦25,000-35,000 per classroom
- Horizontal overhangs add ₦45,000-60,000 in materials and labour
- Optimised interior paint (reflectance 0.55) is cost-neutral (standard white paint)
- Net additional cost: ₦20,000-25,000 per classroom (~2.5% of typical construction cost)
- Payback period: 1.9 years (as calculated in findings)

Design integration:

- Orientation optimisation requires site planning consideration before detailed design, challenging for infill sites but feasible for new school campuses
- Parametric tools (Grasshopper + Ladybug) require 8-12 hours of training for basic

proficiency, 40-60 hours for optimisation workflows

- Simulation time (13 hours for 250 scenarios) fits within typical design timelines (2-3 weeks for schematic design)

Barriers to Adoption:

Knowledge Gap: A survey of 45 Nigerian architectural firms (Author, 2024) revealed:

- 78% unfamiliar with Grasshopper
- 89% never used Ladybug/Honeybee
- 12% employ any daylighting simulation in practice

Institutional Inertia: Ogun State Ministry of Education design guidelines (2019) specify:

- Fixed WWR of 40% (no optimisation)
- No orientation requirements
- No daylighting performance targets
- These prescriptive standards discourage performance-based design

Computational Resources: 68% of surveyed firms lack workstations capable of running Radiance simulations efficiently (Intel i7 or better, 32GB+ RAM)

Recommendations for Scaling:

- Integrate parametric daylighting modules into Nigerian architecture curricula (currently absent in 85% of programs)
- Develop simplified parametric templates for common building types (classrooms, offices, clinics)
- Establish performance-based building codes with mandatory daylighting targets

- Create government-funded daylighting simulation services for public projects
- Conduct post-occupancy studies to build evidence base for optimisation benefits"

Conclusion

This paper demonstrates that parametric daylighting optimisation using Grasshopper and Ladybug is both feasible and highly effective for tropical classroom design in Ogun, Nigeria. Through systematic evaluation of 250 design permutations, the research identified optimal configurations that achieve 70% daylight autonomy while maintaining visual comfort, representing a 12-percentage-point improvement over conventional designs and a 25% reduction in artificial lighting energy consumption.

The computational approach explored 250 design variants in 13 hours of task that would require months using manual methods, demonstrating practical applicability for real-world design timelines.

Beyond technical performance, this study contributes to the Sustainable Development Goals by providing actionable strategies to reduce the operating costs of educational infrastructure and improve learning environments in tropical Africa. With an implementation payback period of less than 2 years and a 20-year net present value of ₦1.24 million per classroom, the economic case for optimisation is compelling.

The research also advances methodological knowledge by demonstrating how parametric tools, often associated with complex geometries, can be applied to optimize conventional building typologies through systematic variation of fundamental design parameters. This democratises performance-based design, making it accessible to practitioners without advanced computational design expertise.

However, realising these benefits at scale requires addressing systemic barriers: updating building codes to mandate performance targets, integrating

parametric tools into architectural education, providing computational resources to design firms, and conducting post-occupancy studies to build evidence of real-world benefits.

Future research should extend this methodology to other building typologies, validate findings through empirical monitoring, and develop climate-adaptive strategies responsive to changing environmental conditions. The ultimate goal is not merely to optimize individual buildings, but to transform design culture toward evidence-based, performance-driven practice that harmonises architectural quality with environmental responsibility.

Recommendations

Based on the study findings, the following recommendations are organised by stakeholder group and prioritised by impact and feasibility.

Architects and Building Designers (Immediate Implementation) should:

- Adopt Core Design Principles
- Integrate Parametric Tools into Design Workflow
- Conduct Post-Occupancy Evaluation

Educational Institutions and School Administrators (Planning Phase) should:

- Update Design Briefs and Standards
- Retrofit Existing Facilities
- Monitor and Evaluate Performance

Government and Policy Makers (Regulatory Framework) should:

- Update Building Codes and Standards
- Provide Technical Support and Capacity Building
- Incentivise High-Performance Design
- **Researchers and Academia (Knowledge Advancement) should:**
- Expand Empirical Validation

- Extend to Other Building Types and Climates
- Integrate Advanced Technologies and Methods
- Curriculum Development

References

- Bahdad, A. A., Taib, N., Allahaim, F. S., & Ajlan, A. M. (2024). Parametric optimisation approach to evaluate dynamic shading within double skin insulated glazed units for multi-criteria daylighting performance in the tropics. *Journal of Daylighting*, 11(2), 349–371. <https://doi.org/10.15627/jd.2024.24>
- CIE (Commission internationale de l'Éclairage). (2006). *Test cases to assess the accuracy of lighting computer programs* (CIE 171:2006). CIE.
- Dabe, T. J., & Adane, V. S. (2020). Evaluation of daylight parameters on the basis of a simulation model for the tropical climate. *Journal of Architectural Environment & Structural Engineering Research*, 3(2), 22–28. <https://doi.org/10.30564/jaeser.v3i2.598>
- European Committee for Standardisation. (2018). *Daylight in buildings* (EN 17037:2018). CEN.
- Fadeyi, A., John, I. B., Uduma Ologu, N., & Adebamowo, M. (2024). Optimising residential building orientation for sustainable daylighting in the tropics: A case study in Ogun, Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 12(3), 5963–5971. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijstrm/v12i03.em02>
- Jiang, Y., Liu, Z., Zhang, J., & Wang, H. (2024). Daylighting, energy consumption and parametric shading workflows using Grasshopper and Ladybug. *Sustainability*, 16(13), 5549. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135549>



- Ogun State Ministry of Education. (2019). *Design guidelines for educational facilities*. Ogun State Government.
- Li, L. (2024). Research on daylighting optimization of building space layout based on parametric design. *Sustainable Buildings*, 7, Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.1051/sbuild/2024003>
- Mukhtar, M. A., Salisu, A. S., & Salihu, M. M. (2024). Framework for optimizing daylighting and passive indoor thermal comfort in single-banked office buildings in the temperate dry climate of Nigeria. *Architecture Papers of the Faculty of Architecture and Design STU*, 29(2), 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.2478/alfa-2024-0008>
- Nabil, A., & Mardaljevic, J. (2006). Useful daylight illuminances: A replacement for daylight factors. *Energy and Buildings*, 38(7), 905–913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.03.013>
- Nigerian Federal Ministry of Works and Housing. (2006). *National building code*. Federal Republic of Nigeria.
- Reinhart, C. F., & Andersen, M. (2006). Development and validation of a Radiance model for a translucent panel. *Energy and Buildings*, 38(7), 890–904. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.03.006>
- Reinhart, C. F., & Walkenhorst, O. (2001). Validation of dynamic RADIANCE-based daylight simulations for a test office with external blinds. *Energy and Buildings*, 33(7), 683–697. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(01\)00058-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(01)00058-5)
- Saleh, S., & Abdullahi, Y. (2020). Parametric design and building performance analysis using Grasshopper and Ladybug tools in tropical regions. *Frontiers of Architectural Research*, 9(2), 248–259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foar.2019.09.007>
- U.S. Green Building Council. (2019). *LEED v4.1 building design and construction*. USGBC.
- Wienold, J., & Christoffersen, J. (2006). Evaluation methods and development of a new glare prediction model for daylight environments with the use of CCD cameras. *Energy and Buildings*, 38(7), 743–757. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.03.017>